Obestatin controls the ubiquitin–proteasome and autophagy–lysosome systems in glucocorticoid-induced muscle cell atrophy
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Abstract

Background Many pathological states characterized by muscle atrophy are associated with an increase in circulating glucocorticoids and poor patient prognosis, making it an important target for treatment. The development of treatments for glucocorticoid-induced and wasting disorder-related skeletal muscle atrophy should be designed based on how the particular transcriptional program is orchestrated and how the balance of muscle protein synthesis and degradation is deregulated. Here, we investigated whether the obestatin/GPR39 system, an autocrine/paracrine signaling system acting on myogenesis and with anabolic effects on the skeletal muscle, could protect against glucocorticoid-induced muscle cell atrophy.

Methods In the present study, we have utilized mouse C2C12 myotube cultures to examine whether the obestatin/GPR39 signaling pathways can affect the atrophy induced by the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone. We have extended these findings to in vitro effects on human atrophy using human KM155C25 myotubes.

Results The activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system protects from glucocorticoid-induced atrophy by regulation of Akt, PKD/PKCμ, CAMKII and AMPK signaling and its downstream targets in the control of protein synthesis, ubiquitin–proteasome system and autophagy–lysosome system in mouse cells. We compared mouse and human myotube cells in their response to glucocorticoid and identified differences in both the triggering of the atrophic program and the response to obestatin stimulation. Notably, we demonstrate that specific patterns of post-translational modifications of FoxO4 and FoxO1 play a key role in directing FoxO activity in response to obestatin in human myotubes.

Conclusions Our findings emphasize the function of the obestatin/GPR39 system in coordinating a variety of pathways involved in the regulation of protein degradation during catabolic conditions.
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Introduction

The control of muscle mass is determined by an active balance between anabolic and catabolic processes. Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1, which includes three essential and evolutionarily conserved core subunits (mTOR, Raptor and mLST8), is responsive to both organismal and cellular nutritional status, and controls downstream metabolic processes. Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 activation leads to both an acute increase in the
translation of specific mRNAs and a broader increase in the protein synthesis capacity of the cell. mTORC1 regulates 5-cap-dependent mRNA translation through two sets of direct downstream targets: the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E)-binding proteins 4E-BP1 and 2 and the ribosomal S6 kinases (S6K1 and 2). 4E-BPs appear to have the most profound effect on mRNA translation downstream of mTORC1, binding to eIF4E at the 5-cap of mRNAs and blocking assembly of the translation initiation complex. The phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 and 2 by mTORC1 stimulates their release from eIF4E, allowing translation initiation to proceed. Systemic changes in the metabolism of the organism are sensed by mTORC1 through pathways activated by secreted growth factors, cytokines and hormones: mTORC1 is activated by insulin and most other growth factors through either receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) or G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) at the cell surface. Downstream of these receptors, two major signalling pathways are involved in mTORC1 activation: the Akt and Erk1/2 pathways. Activation of mTORC1 is also dependent on sufficient levels of essential intracellular nutrients, including amino acids, glucose and oxygen. Protein degradation in skeletal muscle cells is essentially mediated by the activity of two conserved pathways: the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway and the autophagic/lysosomal pathway.5 Both systems are coordinately regulated to remove proteins and organelles in atrophying cells.6,7 The ubiquitin–proteasome pathway is responsible for the turnover of the majority of soluble and myofibrillar muscle proteins by the transcriptional activation of a set of E3 ligase-encoding genes, e.g. muscle RING-finger 1 (MuRF1) and atrogin-1/MAFbx.8,9 Autophagy also plays an important role in the degradation of skeletal muscle as a consequence of an ordered transcriptional program involving a battery of genes, e.g. LC3, p62 and Bnip3.10 Both autophagy–lysosome and ubiquitin–proteasome systems are transcriptionally controlled through the expression of a particular gene set: Forkhead box class O factors (FoxOs).11 Members of the FoxO family (FoxO1, 3, 4 and 6) were identified as the main transcription factors regulating MAFbx expression.9 Importantly, FoxO3 regulates autophagy coordinating the proteosomal dependent removal of proteins with the autophagy-dependent clearance of organelles.6,12 The use of FoxO1 knockout mice has demonstrated a role for this factor in muscle protein homeostasis showing partial protection from muscle loss during chronic kidney disease.13 FoxO1 deficiency was associated with partial reduction in the expression of MAFbx, MuRF1 and the lysosomal enzyme, Cathepsin-L.13,14 Recent findings underscore the central function of FoxOs in coordinating a variety of stress-response genes during catabolic conditions.15 It is evident that each atrophic phenotype involves specific signalling pathways; some triggering FoxOs, and that other components of the cellular machinery can participate in the progression of atrophy.16 These positive and negative pathways are balanced in a highly coordinated manner for the maintenance of muscle homeostasis, myofibre size and total muscle volume.9

The actions of adrenal glucocorticoids are mediated by a signalling pathway involving the ubiquitously expressed glucocorticoid receptor (GR), a prototypic member of the nuclear receptor superfamily, which acts as a ligand-dependent transcription factor.17 In skeletal muscle, glucocorticoids elicit a variety of biological actions in the metabolism of glucose, lipids and proteins and contribute to metabolic homeostasis.18 A prolonged oversecretion or exogenous administration of glucocorticoids leads to many pathological conditions characterized by muscle atrophy, e.g. sepsis, cachexia, starvation, metabolic acidosis and severe insulinopenia, associated with an increase in circulating glucocorticoid levels.18–21 Normally, glucocorticoid-induced muscle atrophy is characterized by fast-twitch type II glycolytic muscle fibre loss with reduced or no impact on type I fibres. Previous reports suggested the implication of the catabolic processes through the induction of a set of genes including the E3 ubiquitin ligases MAFbx, MuRF1 and myostatin.19,20 The involvement of FoxO transcription factors is reported in the gene regulation of MAFbx and MuRF1 in the presence of an excess of glucocorticoids.9,22 Furthermore, the identification of target genes of the GR in skeletal muscle, i.e. REDD1 and KLF15, involved in the regulation of the expression of MAFbx and MuRF1 genes, demonstrated the implication of GR in a variety of downstream molecular cascades leading to muscle atrophy.21 Notably, a mutually crosstalk between GR and mTOR suggests a coordinated interaction between the catabolic signal and the anabolic machinery.23

The development of strategies to counteract glucocorticoid- and disease-induced skeletal muscle atrophy should be addressed on the bases on how the particular transcriptional program is orchestrated and how the balance of muscle protein synthesis and degradation might be deregulated. Previously, we demonstrated that the obestatin/GPR39 system exerts an autocrine function to control the myogenic program through regulation of the different stages involved in myogenesis: proliferation, migration, fusion and myofibre growth.24,25 Obestatin/GPR39 signalling leads to an induction of the Akt/mTOR anabolic growth pathway, exerting a role on protein synthesis and leading to increases in muscle size.24–26 Recent findings unveil a molecular mechanism whereby the β-arrestins function as a link between GPR39 and the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) to regulate specific steps of the myogenic process. Obestatin-induced mitogenic action is mediated by ERK1/2 and JunD activity, in a G-dependent mechanism. At a later stage of myogenesis, scaffolding proteins β-arrestins are essential for the activation of cell cycle exit and differentiation through the EGFR transactivation. This signalplex regulates the mitotic arrest by p21 and p57 expression and mid to late stages of
differentiation through JNK/c-jun, CAMKII, Akt and p38 pathways.26 Based on the anabolic action of the obestatin/GPR39 system, we hypothesized that this system counteracts the catabolic processes provoked by glucocorticoids. To that end, mouse C2C12 myotubes were treated with dexamethasone, as in vitro model of skeletal muscle cell atrophy.9,22,27,28 We investigated the cross-talk between protein degradation and synthesis and provide evidence that the obestatin/GPR39 system acts through the Akt/FoxO axis thereby protecting from experimentally induced atrophy.26,29 Differentiation into myotubes was initiated by switching to DM [DMEM supplemented with 50 μg/mL gentamycin (Invitrogen)] on gelatin from porcine skin-covered (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) multiwell for 7 days unless otherwise stated. Stable immortalized cell line from C25 cells was carried out as previously described (29). In brief, primary C25 cells were co-transduced with two retroviral vectors expressing hTERT and CDK-4 cDNA.29 Co-transduced cells were selected by neomycin and puromycin and then purified using magnetic beads coupled to antibodies directed against the myogenic marker CD56. Following culture at clonal density, individual myogenic clones with extended proliferative lifespans, as compared with the untransduced cells, were isolated from each population. Immortalized human myoblasts, KM155C25 Clone 48 (KM155C25 cells), maintain their capacity to differentiate both in vitro and in vivo after transplantation into the regenerating muscles of immunodeficient mice.29,30 KM155C25 cells were cultivated in GM containing Medium 199:DMEM (1:4, v/v; Lonza, Pontevedra, SP) supplemented with 20% FBS (v/v), 50 μg/mL gentamicin (Invitrogen), 25 μg/μL fetuin, 5 ng/mL hEGF, 0.5 ng/mL bFGF and 50 μg/mL gentamycin (Invitrogen) as described previously.26,29 Differentiation into myotubes was initiated at 90% confluence by switching to DM [DMEM supplemented with 50 μg/mL gentamycin (Invitrogen)] for 7 days unless otherwise stated.

Murine or human myotubes were treated with dexamethasone (Dexa; 0.05–100 μM) for 24 h in the presence or absence of obestatin (5–100 nM), or with insulin (100 nM) as a positive control of atrophy protection.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Mouse/rat obestatin was obtained from California Peptide Research (Napa, CA, USA). Antibodies used are listed in Table S1. All other chemical reagents were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, US).

**Cell culture and differentiation**

Mouse C2C12 (ECACC, Whiltshire, UK) myoblasts were cultured as described by the supplier (ECACC, Whiltshire, UK). Briefly, C2C12 myoblasts were maintained in growth medium (GM) containing DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin. For routine differentiation, the cells were grown to ~80% confluence, and GM was replaced with differentiation medium (DM; DMEM supplemented with 2% horse serum (HS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin) for 7 days unless otherwise stated.

Myogenic primary, C25 cells and clonal line, KM155C25 Clone 48 (KM155C25 cells), were obtained from the platform for immortalization of human myoblasts of the Center for Research in Myology in Paris (Paris, France), who developed the isolation and immortalization from a biopsy obtained through MYOBANK, a partner in the EU network EuroBioBank (gracilis muscle, donor age 25 years). Primary myogenic cells isolated from biopsy were purified by magnetic activated cell sorting using anti-CD56 (a specific marker of myoblasts) beads (MACS, Miltenyl Biotech). Purity before and after cell sorting was determined by immunolabelling (anti-desmin and anti-mouse IgG1 AlexaFluor 488 antibodies) following the protocols previously described (29).

**Immunofluorescence**

Myoblast cells were cultured on multiwells and differentiated into myotubes for 7 days. Myotubes were then treated with Dexa for 24 h in the presence or absence of obestatin or insulin as indicated above. Cells were fixed with 96% ethanol, washed with ice cold PBS, permeabilized with PBST (0.3% Triton X-100, 0.1 M glycine in PBS), blocked with 1% BSA in PBST and then incubated with primary antibody diluted in 1% BSA in PBST at 4°C. After three washes with PBS, cells were incubated with the secondary antibody (FITC-conjugate...
goat anti-mouse antibody or FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody) diluted in 1% BSA in PBST (1:1000) at room temperature and then washed with ice cold PBS. Topro or DAPI was used to counterstain the cell nuclei (Invitrogen). Digital images of cell cultures were acquired with a Leica TCS-SP5 spectral confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany). Myotube areas (MHC+ cells, ≥ 3 nuclei) were quantified by measuring a total of >100 myotube areas from five random fields in three replicate using ImageJ64 analysis software.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) silencing of gene expression

Chemically synthesized double-stranded siRNA duplexes targeting FoxO4, β-arrestin 1 or β-arrestin 2 were selected from ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNA from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Dharmacon; human FoxO4: AGUCAUGCCUGGAA GCUUU, GAGAAAGCGACUGACACUUG, GGAAUAACAGCGUCA GUC, CAACGAGGCCACCGGCAA; human β-Arrestin 1: UGGA UAAGGAGAUCUAUUA, AUGGAAAGCUCACCUGUCA, GAACUG CCCCUCACCCUAA, GAACGAGACGCCAGUAU; human β- Arrestin 2: CGAACAAGAUGACCAGUA, CGGCGUAGACUUGGACAA AGU). An ON-TARGETplus nontargeting siRNA was used as a control for all siRNA experiments. Human KM155C25 myotubes were transfected at day-6 post-differentiation with these siRNAs, for FoxO4, β-arrestin 1 or β-arrestin 2 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), following manufacturer’s instructions.

SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis

The cell samples were directly lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.2), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.25% (w/v) Na-deoxycholate, protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, US), phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, US)) at 4°C, and the protein concentration was quantified using a BCA kit (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, US). The lysates were clarified by centrifugation (14 000 g for 15 min at 4°C), and the protein concentration was quantified using the QuantiPro™ BCA assay kit (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, US). For immunoblotting, equal amounts of protein were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Immunoreactive bands were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pierce, Rockford, IL, US).

Co-immunoprecipitation assays

Following treatment [DM, DM + DEXA (1 μM, 24 h), DM + DEXA (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (10 nM, 24 h)], KM155C25 myotubes were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in co-immunoprecipitation lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM glycerol phosphate, 200 μM sodium orthovanadate, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate plus protease inhibitors). FoxO1 was immunoprecipitated using rabbit anti-FoxO1 antibody coupled to protein A-Dynabeads according to instruction provided by manufacturer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Life Technology, Rockford, IL, US). The washed immunoprecipitates were subjected to western blot analysis using the indicated antibodies.

Protein synthesis assays

Protein synthesis was measured in C2C12 and KM155C25 myotubes using Click-IT® Plus OPP Protein Synthesis Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Life Technology, Rockford, IL, USA). Murine or human myotubes were treated with DEXA (1 μM) in the presence or absence of obestatin (10 nM), or with obestatin (10 nM) in the presence or absence the protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (100 μM) for 24 h. Myotubes were then incubated with 20 μM Click-IT OPP in cell culture medium for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were washed with PBS and fixed with ethanol and permeabilized by 0.5% Triton X-100. Click-IT reaction was carried out according to manufacturer’s instructions. HCS NuclearMask™ Blue Stain was used to nuclei counterstain. Protein synthesis was measured as the mean intensity of the fluorescent signal and normalized to cell number assessed by the blue NuclearMask signal.

Data analysis

All values are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). A Shapiro–Wilks normality test was performed for each data set. T-tests were carried out for comparisons between two samples. Unpaired T-test was used to assess the statistical significance of one-way or two-way analysis when the test statistic followed a normal distribution. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant (*,# P < 0.05).

Results

The obestatin/GPR39 system regulates the interplay between Akt and FoxO signalling in dexamethasone-induced atrophy in C2C12 myotubes

We first defined a dose–response effect for the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone (DEXA, 0.05–100 μM) on MuRF1 and MAFbx protein content in fully differentiated C2C12 myotubes. After 24 h of DEXA treatment, a maximal
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effect on MuRF1 and MAFbx protein content was detected at 1 μM Dexa ([4.5–4.9-fold, respectively (Figure 1A)], a concentration that was used for the subsequent assays. Upon these conditions, myotubes showed a 40 ± 2% decrease in area (Figure 1B). These changes were reversed by obestatin as revealed by a marked rescue of myotube area for the range of obestatin concentrations tested (5, 25 and 100 nM: 39 ± 2%, 36 ± 4% and 36 ± 2% increase over Dexa-treated myotubes, respectively; Figure 1B). ²⁶ It should be noted that the myotube areas were ~27% larger in obestatin-treated cells as compared with insulin-treated cells (12 ± 3% increase over Dexa-treated myotubes; Figure 1B), used as positive control. A detailed area analysis at 5 nM obestatin revealed a significant increase, as compared with Dexa-treated myotubes, in the percentage of myotubes of larger area (Figure 1C): ~91% vs. 4% of myotubes in a range between 2 × 10²–8 × 10² μm², and ~9% vs. 96% in the range between 8 and 2 × 10³ μm², respectively.

At the molecular level, obestatin treatment (5–100 nM, 24 h) decreased the expression of the ubiquitin E3-ligases MuRF1 and MAFbx in Dexa-treated cells by 45–69% and 44–58%, respectively. Insulin (100 nM, 24 h) similarly decreased the MuRF1 and MAFbx expression by 62 ± 2% and 93 ± 2%, respectively (Figure 2A). It is important to highlight that the obestatin-activated signalling resulted in a strong up-regulation of myosin heavy chain (MHC) expression, showing levels 32–108% above those reached in Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes (Figure 2A). The results achieved for MHC in response to obestatin (100 nM) were superior to those reached with insulin (108 ± 6% vs. 35 ± 3%, respectively). Furthermore, the muscle-specific transcription factor myogenin was up-regulated following Dexa treatment consistent with a role in the expression of the MuRF1 and MAFbx. ¹⁶ This up-regulation was increased by ~24% in obestatin- or insulin-treated C2C12 myotubes (Figure 2A). The down-regulation of ubiquitin E3-ligases and up-regulation of protein synthesis suggested the implication of the growth promoting obestatin/Akt–mTOR signalling pathway. Indeed, Akt activation, detected by the phosphorylation of its regulatory residue S473 [pAkt(S473)], was increased by 2.5- to 3.8-fold in obestatin-stimulated cells as compared with Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes. The up-regulation of Akt activity was concomitant with a 2.6- to 2.8-fold increase in mTOR phosphorylation at S2448 [pmTOR(S2448) related to Dexa-treated cells], a 1.8- to 2.9-fold increase in S6 K1 phosphorylation at S371 [pS6K1(S371)] related to Dexa-treated cells; Figure 2A] and by a 2.5- to 2.6-fold increase in phosphorylation of its downstream target, the ribosomal protein S6 at S240/244 [pS6(S240/244); Figure 2B]. In insulin-treated cells, pmTOR(S2448), pS6K1(S371) and pS6(S240/244) were also significantly increased (1.8-, 1.9- and 2.5-fold, respectively). Furthermore, obestatin markedly promoted 4E-BP1 hyperphosphorylation at T70 [p4E-BP1(T70)], especially concerning the γ form (2.0- to 2.9-fold), altering the basal phosphorylation of β and γ forms at T37/T46 [p4E-BP1(T37/T46); 1.3- to 1.6-fold and 2.0- to 2.9-fold, respectively] in Dexa-treated cells (Figure 2B). The p4E–BP1(T70) γ form was also increased by insulin (1.9-fold related to Dexa-treated cells) but insulin did not appreciably alter basal T37/T46 phosphorylation (β or γ forms). Obestatin treatment (10 nM, 24 h) increased protein synthesis in C2C12 myotubes by 79 ± 6% in Dexa-treated cells (Figure 2C).

One mechanism by which Akt reduces the expression of the ubiquitin E3-ligases is the phosphorylation and subsequent nuclear exclusion of FoxO family members. As shown in Figure 3A, obestatin markedly increased FoxO4 phosphorylation at T28 [pFoxO4(T28)] by 1.9- to 3.4-fold related to Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes but did not change FoxO3a and FoxO1 phosphorylation (Figure 3A). In contrast, insulin treatment did appreciably increase phosphorylation of FoxO1 at T24 (3.8-fold related to Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes) but had no effect at S256 (Figure 3A). Furthermore, insulin increased FoxO3a phosphorylation at T32 and S253 (2.2-and 3.2-fold, respectively) with minor effect on FoxO4 phosphorylation (1.4-fold; Figure 3A).

Because mTOR is a suppressor of the autophagy–lysosome system, while FoxO is an inducer of autophagy-dependent degradation, the status of this degradative process was checked in response to activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system. The levels of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 isoform I (LC3-I) did not change in Dexa-treated myotubes, whereas the lipitated form (LC3-II), a reliable marker of autophagosome formation, was significantly elevated (Figure 3B), as revealed by an increase in the LC3II/LC3I ratio observed in Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes. This increase was reversed by 18–34% upon obestatin treatment consistent with decreased LC3-II levels (Figure 3B). Furthermore, the levels of p62 were assayed as a measure of substrate sequestration into autophagosomes: p62 binds LC3 and substrates marked for degradation by ubiquitylation. Significant accumulation of p62 protein was seen in obestatin-stimulated cells as compared with Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes (144–169%) indicating a block in autophagy (Figure 3B). In contrast, obestatin stimulation was associated with a reduction in the expression of the lysosomal enzyme Cathepsin L by 31–29% as compared with Dexa-treated myotubes, further supporting an at least partial inactivation of autophagy. Insulin treatment decreased LC3-II/LC3-I ratio and increased p62 accumulation, although it failed to modify Cathepsin L levels (Figure 3B). Taken together, our data support a model where the interplay between Akt, mTOR and FoxO family members, the ubiquitin–proteasome and the autophagy–lysosome systems, and the signalling associated with protein translation in response to the obestatin/GPR39 system.
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Figure 1  Activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system regulates the C2C12 myotube growth under Dexa-treatment. (A) Immunoblot analysis of Murf1 and MAFbx in C2C12 myotubes under Dexa-treatment (Dexa; 0.05–100 μM; 24 h). Immunoblots are representative of the mean value of three independent experiments. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM obtained from intensity scans. (B) Left panel, immunofluorescence detection of MHC in C2C12 myotube cells after stimulation under differentiation medium (DM), DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h), DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (5–100 nM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + insulin (100 nM, 24 h) applied at day 7 post-differentiation. To pro was used to identify the cell nucleus. Right panel corresponds to myotube area (μm²) assessment for the different treatments (n = 10 per group). Data were expressed as mean ± SEM. * P < 0.05 Dexa vs. control values (DM). (C) Myotube area and myotube number were measured and expressed as % of total myotube number for each group (n = 10 per group).
The obestatin/GPR39 system attenuates atrophy-related gene expression by targeting FoxO4 in dexamethasone-induced atrophy of human KM155C25 myotubes

In order to validate whether the obestatin/GPR39 signalling is conserved between human and mouse, we used an in vitro cell culture model of human skeletal muscle: the human muscle stem-cell line immortalized from a control individual, KM155C25 cells (for details see Methods). Treatment of this cell line with Dexa resulted in dose-dependent increases in the MuRF1 and MAFbx protein content with a maximal effect at 1 μM Dexa [5.4–5.9-fold, respectively (Figure 4A)]. Up-regulation of ubiquitin E3-ligases was concomitant with a decrease in Akt activity and MHC expression (Figure 4A). Intriguingly, myogenin expression was down-regulated following Dexa treatment, contrary to what was observed in C2C12 myotubes, possibly related to the fact that myogenin is up-regulated during the initial phases of differentiation.

The obestatin/GPR39 system protects C2C12 myotubes from dexamethasone-induced atrophy with induction of protein synthesis. Immunoblot analysis of (A) Murf1, MAFbx, pAkt(S473), p65κB(S273), myogenin and MHC; and, (B) p4E-BP1(T70), p4E-BP1(T37/46) and p65κB(S202/212), in myotube C2C12 cells under DM, DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h), DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (5–100 nM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + insulin (100 nM, 24 h). In A and B, protein level was expressed as fold of control cells in DM (n = 5). Immunoblots are representative of the mean value. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM obtained from intensity scans. * P < 0.05 Dexa vs. DM and # P < 0.05 obestatin vs. Dexa. (C) Protein synthesis was measured in C2C12 myotubes treated with DM, DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h), DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (10 nM, 24 h), DM + obestatin (10 nM, 24 h), DM + cycloheximide (100 μM) or DM + obestatin (10 nM, 24 h) + cycloheximide (100 μM), and data were expressed as fold of DM. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3). * P < 0.05 Dexa, obestatin, cycloheximide or cycloheximide + obestatin vs. DM, and # P < 0.05 obestatin vs. Dexa or cycloheximide + obestatin.
and the kinetics of differentiation may differ between C2C12 and KM155C25 (Figure 4A). An analysis of FoxO phosphorylation showed that Dexa markedly increased the basal level of FoxO3 phosphorylation at T32, S381 and S321. However, this effect was decreased at higher Dexa concentrations (Figure 4B). Unexpectedly, protein levels of FoxO3a were increased ~1.5-fold in response to Dexa (Figure 4B). In contrast, FoxO1 and FoxO4 phosphorylation had a tendency to decrease although not significantly (Figure 4B). Having evaluated the effect of Dexa under basal conditions, the action of obestatin/GPR39 signalling was determined on Dexa-treated myotubes at 1 μM concentration, based on the maximal effect on the MuRF1 and MAFbx expression at that dose. Obestatin treatment (10–100 nM, 24 h) decreased the expression of the ubiquitin E3-ligases MuRF1 and MAFbx by 65–80% and 35–42% in Dexa-treated cells, respectively. Insulin (100 nM, 24 h) similarly decreased the MuRF1 and MAFbx expression by 58 ± 2% and 18 ± 3%, respectively (Figure 4C). The obestatin-activated signalling caused up-regulation of MHC expression, showing levels 185–222% above those reached in Dexa-treated KM155C25 myotubes (Figure 4C). The results achieved for MHC were similar to those reached with insulin (192 ± 9% vs. 222 ± 5% for 100 nM obestatin). However, myogenin was not up-regulated following obestatin- or insulin-treated myotubes (Figure 4C). Under these conditions, obestatin treatment (10 nM, 24 h) increased protein synthesis by 91 ± 5% in Dexa-treated cells (Figure 4D). In addition, myotubes showed a decrease in area.

---

Figure 3 Screening of intracellular targets associated to the activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system in Dexa-treated C2C12 myotubes. (A) Immunoblot analysis of the phosphorylation partner of FoxO3, FoxO1 and FoxO4 in myotube C2C12 cells under DM, DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h), DM + Deta (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (5–100 nM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + insulin (100 nM, 24 h). (B) Immunoblot analysis of autophagy-related proteins LC3, p62 and Cathepsin L in myotube C2C12 cells under DM, DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h), DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (5–100 nM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + insulin (100 nM, 24 h). In A–B, protein level was expressed as fold of control cells in DM (n = 5). ImmunobLOTS are representative of the mean value. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM obtained from intensity scans. * P < 0.05 Dexa vs. DM and # P < 0.05 obestatin vs. Deta.
of 63 ± 3% in response to Dexa (Figure 4E). These changes were reversed by obestatin (10 nM, 24 h) as revealed by a marked increase in myotube area (~100 ± 12% over Dexa-treated myotubes, Figure 4E). Furthermore, the myotube areas were ~40% larger in the obestatin-treated cells than insulin-treated cells (60 ± 8% increase over Dexa-treated myotubes; Figure 4E), used as positive control. To assess how ubiquitin E3 ligases were regulated by obestatin/GPR39 signalling, the phosphorylation code of FoxO proteins was evaluated. As shown in Figure 5A, obestatin significantly increased the amount of phosphorylated FoxO4 at T28 and FoxO1 at S256 by 2.1- and 1.4-fold related to Dexa-treated KM155C25 myotubes, respectively. Obestatin stimulation failed to modify the phosphorylation levels of FoxO1 phosphorylation at T24. Interestingly, FoxO3 phosphorylation at T32, S381 and S321 sites was not modified by obestatin, but maintained at the Dexa-stimulated levels (Figure 5A). This activity was also tested on primary human myotubes obtained from C25 cells (for details see Methods). As shown in Figure S1, obestatin treatment not only reversed Dexa-induced myotube atrophy (Figure S1A) but also increased the amount of phosphorylated FoxO4 at T28 which correlated with decreased MuRF1 and MAFbx expression (Figure S1B).
Having shown that obestatin induced negative regulation of FoxO transcription factors, we investigated the involvement of the β-arrestin signal complex. The effect of down-regulation of β-arrestin 1 and β-arrestin 2 by specific siRNA was evaluated in KM155C25 myotubes. The siRNAs decreased β-arrestin 1 and β-arrestin 2 expressions by 58 ± 3 and 61 ± 4%, respectively (Figure S2). In these conditions, obestatin-stimulated phosphorylation of Akt, FoxO3a, FoxO4 and FoxO1 were reduced by depletion of β-arrestin 1 or 2 [pAkt(S473): 66 ± 1 or 72 ± 1%; pFoxO3a(T32): 68 ± 1 or 67 ± 3%; pFoxO4(T28): 78 ± 2 or 67 ± 2%; pFoxO1(T256): 47 ± 2 or 53 ± 3%; pFoxO3a(S318/321): 66 ± 2 or 63 ± 3%, respectively]. This finding provides a functional activity for β-arrestin-dependent signalplex being specific signalling arm to activate Akt/FoxO signalling in myotubes.

The localization of endogenous FoxO proteins was further visualized by fluorescence microscopy in KM155C25 myotubes, and the ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic fluorescence was calculated (Figure 5B). As depicted in the
representative images, FoxO1, FoxO3a and FoxO4 were present both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm in control conditions. FoxO1 was localized predominately to the nucleus in Dexa treated cells but showed increased localization to the cytoplasm in response to obestatin. FoxO3 was mainly localized in the cytoplasm in response to Dexa or obestatin (Figure 5B). FoxO4 localized in both the nucleus and cytoplasm in response to Dexa, but an increased cytoplasmic translocation was induced by obestatin (Figure 5B). Taken together, these results suggested that obestatin signalling induced FoxO4 T28 phosphorylation, inactivation and nuclear exclusion, contributing to the regulation of transcription of FoxO target genes, such as Murf1 and MAFbx. Indeed, siRNA experiments targeting FoxO4 (81 ± 4% reduction relative to si-control) significantly decreased the Murf1 and MAFbx expression in Dexa-stimulated cells by 55 ± 1 and 61 ± 1% relative to si-control, respectively (Figure 6A). Under these conditions, si-FoxO4 experiments increased the Murf1 and MAFbx expression in obestatin-stimulated cells by 88 ± 12 and 82 ± 6% relative to si-control, respectively. Furthermore, the FoxO4 knockdown showed deregulations of autophagy-related proteins. The acute FoxO4 deficiency on Dexa-treated cells increased the expression levels of p62 by 69 ± 3% relative to si-control (Figure 6A). In contrast, the Cathepsin L levels and the LC3II/LC3I ratio were decreased by 52 ± 6 and 57 ± 5%, respectively. Silencing of FoxO4 increased the p62 and Cathepsin L levels as well as the LC3II/LC3I ratio in obestatin-treated myotubes by 94 ± 6, 564 ± 15 and 82 ± 6% relative to si-control, respectively (Figure 6A).

These results demonstrate not only the role of FoxO4 in triggering the atrophy program, but also that its activity is tightly controlled by the obestatin/GPR39 system.

Post-translational regulation of FoxO1 by the obestatin/GPR39 system in dexamethasone-induced atrophy of human KM155C25 myotubes

While the obestatin/GPR39 signalling clearly controlled the FoxO4 activity by phosphorylation, altering its subcellular location, its role in regulating FoxO1 was not so clear. Nuclear/cytoplasmic shuttling of FoxO1 suggested the implication of posttranslational modifications beyond protein phosphorylation, i.e. acetylation and ubiquitination. In response to Dexa, FoxO1 was acetylated (Ac-FoxO1), and the Ac-FoxO1 level was significantly decreased by 59–76% in response to obestatin (Figure 6B). The acetylation status of FoxO transcription factors is generally balanced by histone acetylases and histone deacetylase (HDAC), including the NAD+/-dependent sirtuins. As shown in Figure 6B, FoxO1 acetylation was accompanied by the Dexa-induced phosphorylation of HDAC4 [pHDAC4(S246), 1.7-fold], but this phosphorylation was clearly decreased 29–61% in response to obestatin. In addition, Dexa treatment increased Sirt1 expression by 1.7-fold, and this expression was decreased in obestatin-treated cells (36–60% inhibition related to Dexa-treated cells; Figure 6B). Sirt2 was also increased 1.6-fold in response to Dexa but this expression was decreased in obestatin-treated cells (36–42% inhibition related to Dexa-treated cells; Figure 6B). No significant modification of Sirt3 expression was observed in any condition (Figure 6B).

Depending on the cell/tissue type and upstream stimulus, multiple kinase families including the Ca2+/CaM-dependent protein kinases (CAMKs), protein kinase D (PKDs) and AMPK can phosphorylate and regulate the localization of the class IIa HDACs. The activation of PKD [pPKD/PKCμ(S916)] was up-regulated 1.7-fold in the presence of Dexa, but this action was reduced in response to obestatin (35–50% inhibition related to Dexa-treated cells; Figure 6B). Dexa treatment increased 2.0-fold the activation of CAMKII, estimated by the phosphorylation of CAMKII at T286 [pCAMKII(T286)], being decreased by obestatin stimulation (33–45% inhibition related to Dexa-treated cells; Figure 6B). Finally, activation of AMPK, estimated by the phosphorylation of AMPK at T172 [pAMPK(T172)], was increased by 2.4-fold in response to Dexa but decreased by 34–73% under obestatin stimulation (Figure 6A). Therefore, HDAC4 activity was regulated by interplay among PKD, CAMKII and AMPK, which suggests some mechanisms for the modulation of FoxO1 acetylation. Next, we looked for evidence of potential FoxO1-interacting proteins in control, Dexa- (1 μM) and Dexa (1 μM)/obestatin (10 nM)-treated cells. Proteins of myotube cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-FoxO1 and probed with anti-Ac-FoxO1, anti-Sirt1, anti-Sirt2, anti-Sirt3, anti-HDAC4 antibodies or anti-ubiquitin. Figure 7 shows that Sirt1 interacted with FoxO1, but this interaction was clearly decreased by 59 ± 1% in response to Dexa. In addition, the interaction between Sirt1 and FoxO1 was clearly increased by obestatin stimulation by 105 ± 2% as compared with Dexa-treated cells. In contrast, a significant decrease in Sirt2 was detected in Dexa-treated or obestatin-treated cells (Figure 7), excluding the direct involvement of this NAD+-dependent histone deacetylase in regulating FoxO1 acetylation. No detectable changes in Sirt3 were observed after the treatments. However, we found that HDAC4 interacted with FoxO1 and this interaction was decreased by 20 ± 3% in response to Dexa, but increased by 57 ± 10% in obestatin-treated cells. We also investigated whether Dexa or obestatin signalling could modify the ubiquitination of FoxO1. Dexa treatment decreased by 54 ± 2% the ubiquitination of FOXO1 related to control levels (Figure 7). This effect was partly counteracted by obestatin resulting in 47 ± 3% increase in the level of ubiquitination when compared with Dexa-treated cells. Thus, obestatin/GPR39 signalling controls FoxO1 activity by altering an intricate combination of post-translational modifications, such as phosphorylation, acetylation and ubiquitination.
Figure 6  The obestatin/GPR39 system controls the proteasomal and autophagic/lysosomal systems via post-transcriptional modification of FoxO4 and FoxO1. (A) After transfection with specific FoxO4 siRNA, KM155C25 myotubes were treated with Dexa (1 μM) or Dexa (1 μM) + obestatin (10 nM) for 24 h. The effect of FoxO4 siRNA was evaluated on obestatin-activated signalling network, Murf1, MAFbx, p62, Cathepsin L and LC3. Protein level was expressed as fold of si-control cells (n = 3). Data were expressed as mean ± SEM. *,#,$ P < 0.05 vs. control values. (B) Immunoblot analysis of Ac-FoxO1(K259/262/271), pHDAC4(S246), pHDAC5(S259), pHDAC7(S155), HDAC4, pPKD(S744/748), pCAMKII(T286), Sirt1, pAMPK(T172) and Sirt3 in human KM155C25 myotubes under DM, DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (10–100 nM, 24 h). Immunoblots are representative of the mean value (n = 4). Data were expressed as mean ± SEM obtained from intensity scans. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM obtained from intensity scans. * P < 0.05 Dexa vs. DM and # P < 0.05 obestatin vs. Dexa.
Discussion

In the present study, we have shown that obestatin specifically regulates protein synthesis, autophagy and ubiquitin–proteasome systems in a coordinated manner involving Akt-, PKD/PKCμ-, CAMKII- and AMPK-dependent mechanisms with distinct sets of effector proteins that ultimately affect FoxO transcription factors. Additionally, a specific pattern of FoxO post-translational modifications, including FoxO4 phosphorylation and FoxO1 deacetylation, is critical in the regulation of autophagy and ubiquitin–proteasome. These observations demonstrated that the obestatin/GPR39 system is able to counteract deregulations in the proteostasis, e.g. those associated to glucocorticoid-induced myotube atrophy, and to restore efficient basal homeostasis.

In the C2C12 myotubes used in this study, the regulation of the ubiquitin–proteasome machinery partly derives from the activation of the Akt pathway. Akt controls both protein degradation via the FoxO family transcription factors, and protein synthesis via mTOR. Akt has been previously shown to phosphorylate members of the FoxO family, which keeps FOXO proteins from translocating to the nucleus. Our results show that neither FoxO1 nor FoxO3 is involved in the response to obestatin via phosphorylation. In contrast, FoxO4 appears to be the obestatin-responsive isoform, thus suggesting an isoform specific mode of regulation. On the other hand, the implication of the mTOR pathway is demonstrated by the activation of S6K1 and by eIF4E availability, through phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, which finally promotes protein synthesis. Thus, the activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system results in an anti-atrophic effect as evidenced by an increase in protein content in myotube, e.g. MHC, and an increase in the size of these myotubes in the presence of atrophy-promoting Dexa. Synthesis and degradation of proteins are two processes that are intimately connected and regulated by pathways that can affect both. Our report demonstrates that the activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system blocks Dexa-induced autophagy. Reduction of LC3 lipidation (LC3II) and accumulation of p62 together with a reduced Cathepsin L protein level support the hypothesis that lysosomal activity was slowed down in Dexa-treated myotubes, thereby limiting the final degradation steps of up-regulated autophagy. Thus, the obestatin/GPR39 system controls both protein synthesis and protein degradation by specific modulation of anabolism and proteasomal activity.

Although murine models sometimes can translate directly to human conditions, we show here that concerning the molecular behaviour of some key genes or key pathways, or even potentially at genome-wide level, murine myogenesis differs substantially from human myogenesis. Although the obestatin/GPR39 system reverts Dexa-induced atrophy in human myotubes by regulating both ubiquitin–proteasome and autophagy–lysosome systems, we show here that the molecular response in the cellular mouse model C2C12 reflects only partly the mechanisms involved in human muscle cells. First, transcriptional and post-translational regulation of FoxO3 by Dexa differs between murine and human cells. Dexa activates FoxO3 at the transcriptional level in human...
myotubes. Indeed, induction of FoxO3 transcripts was demonstrated to require glucocorticoid receptor-binding steroids. Consistent with the stimulation of FoxO3 being a primary response to glucocorticoids, analysis of genomic DNA as well as ChIP assays revealed two functional glucocorticoid responsive elements within the FoxO3 promoter. Furthermore, we provide evidence for a phosphorylation switch by which Dexa induces transcriptional activation of the FoxO3 gene but subsequently inactivates the corresponding protein by site-specific phosphorylation, i.e. T32, S381 and S321. Thus, glucocorticoid appears to exert a dual action in activating FoxO3 target gene expression by combining transcriptional and post-translational levels of regulation. Recent evidence indicated that FoxO3 transcription factor is activated in response to metabolic stress, characterized by low intracellular energy and high glucocorticoid levels. Under these conditions, FoxO3 is activated on the transcriptional level and phosphorylated by AMPK. This results in an increased transcription of the FoxO3 target gene Liver Kinase B1 (LKB1), which in turn can phosphorylate and thereby activate AMPK. Once activated, AMPK switches off anabolic pathways while simultaneously activating catabolic pathways, which result in the production of ATP. When cellular energy levels are restored, AMPK is then allosterically inactivated by ATP. Under such conditions, the serum and glucocorticoid-inducible kinase 1 (SGK-1) phosphorylates FoxO3 at T32, inactivating its transcriptional activity. Furthermore, the fact that the induction of FoxO3 transcripts was enhanced by AMPK activation under Dexa treatment, but inhibited by the obestatin-related Akt signalling, suggests that Akt and the AMPK pathways may have opposite biological effects. While the obestatin-related Akt pathway stimulates the anabolic process, the AMPK pathway promotes catabolic process. FoxO3 is one of the intersections between the two pathways, being post-translationally inhibited by downstream effectors of the Akt pathway and post-translationally regulated by AMPK. Thus, the AMPK signalling pathway may coordinate a series of transcriptional and post-transcriptional changes that allow cells to adapt to changes in the energy status. Second, FoxO1 activity is not only regulated by phosphorylation at Akt-phosphorylation site S256, but also the acetylation of FoxO1 is involved in human myotubes. Akt-phosphorylation site S256 is a requisite to promote ubiquitination and degradation of FoxO1. Additionally, the acetylation status of FoxO1 is associated to specific dephosphorylation of class II HDAC4 on a series of conserved serine residues. Indeed, HDAC phosphorylation provides docking sites for the 14-3-3 chaperone protein leading to nuclear export and inactivation of HDACs. The increase HDAC4 activity occurred together with significant changes in the activation of PKD/PKCμ and CAMKII, enzymes known to regulate class II HDAC activation via phosphorylation. This is further supported by results of immunoprecipitation assays that demonstrate that HDAC4 interacts with FoxO1, and that this interaction is clearly decreased in response to Dexa. We also observed an increased interaction between FoxO1 and Sirt1, and not Sirt2, under obestatin stimulation. Taking into account the role of acetylation on FoxO1 activity on autophagy, FoxO1 could function in eliciting autophagy in response to Dexa by directly binding to the promoter region of autophagic genes, or by specific interaction with Atg7, an E1-facilitating protein, to influence the autophagic process. On the other hand, the lack of Akt-specific phosphorylation of FoxO1 at S256 prevents its degradation. Thus, we propose that the obestatin/GPR39 signalling has exerted its specific function by reducing FoxO1 activity by deacetylation and phosphorylation. Deacetylation of FoxO1 occurs as a result of its association to Sirt1 and HDAC4, and the deacetylated FoxO1 fails to induce the autophagic process. Obestatin-related Akt signalling promotes the ubiquitin-dependent degradation via FoxO1 phosphorylation, thereby inhibiting its transcriptional function. This is further supported by our results showing that obestatin can increase the ubiquitination status of FoxO1, and thus accelerate its degradation. Third, the Dexa-induced expression pattern of myogenin is different between mouse and human myotubes. Myogenin plays a dual role as both a regulator of muscle development and an inducer of neurogenic atrophy by directly activating the expression of MuRF1 and MAFbx in mice. These contrasting activities reflect differential modulation by signalling pathways that enable myogenin to regulate distinct sets of target genes. Although myogenin was up-regulated in C2C12 myotubes following Dexa treatment, at no time, this transcription factor did show an increase in human myotubes. This might be ascribed to differences in kinetics of myogenin expression between cellular models. The precise mechanisms underlying this phenomenon are unclear; however, the fact that MuRF1 and MAFbx are down-regulated under obestatin treatment in the absence of myogenin up-regulation appears to exclude its implication as inducer of the E3 ubiquitin ligases in glucocorticoid-induced atrophy. In this regard, myogenin is not induced in response to other forms of atrophy such as cancer, fasting or diabetes. Together, these findings highlight the existence of fundamental differences between the regulatory circuitry in human and mouse muscle wasting and their modulation. Further experimentation will be required to fully elucidate the functional consequences of these differences.

Obestatin/GPR39 system arises as one of the autocrine systems for coordinating muscle growth, enhancing muscle repair and increasing muscle mass through regulatory role on proliferation, differentiation and hyper trophy of muscle cells. The role of obestatin in muscle atrophy through regulation of ubiquitin E3-ligases expression and autophagy, this system displays clear functional similarities with the IGF and/or insulin signalling, but acts using distinct receptors and signalling pathways. The major
findings in this work have been the identification of key anti-atrophic signalling nodes in humans, in particular FoxO4 and FoxO1, in response to obestatin. It is worth underlining that, siRNA targeting FoxO4 suppress the ability of obestatin to regulate E3 ubiquitin ligases and autophagy during glucocorticoid treatment. Furthermore, FoxO1 is regulated by post-translational modifications, for example acetylation/deacetylation and ubiquitination. Interestingly, the obestatin action was not due to an effect on the FoxO3, the most critical factor for the atrophy program. Indeed, we provide functional evidence for a FoxO3 phosphorylation switch that explains how glucocorticoids, per se, regulate transcriptional activation of the gene but subsequently inactivate the corresponding protein by site-specific phosphorylation and nuclear export. Therefore, FoxO4 and FoxO1 are both required for the optimal regulation of the proteostasis in response to the obestatin/GPR39 system, at least during glucocorticoid-induced atrophy.

In summary, our results demonstrate that the activation of obestatin/GPR39 system impaired proteostasis via specific inactivation of FoxO4 and FoxO1 and efficiently counteracts the catabolic processes provoked by glucocorticoids, as shown in Figure 8. Notably, Akt/mTOR activation combined with PKD/CAMKII/AMPK inactivation by the obestatin signalling is required to inhibit FoxO-dependent atrogens and autophagy in human muscle cells. This crosstalk between GR and the obestatin/GPR39 signalling is a coordinated interaction between an anabolic signal and the catabolic machinery. Modulation of the activity of this system may represent a new strategy to ameliorate the debilitating effects of the muscle atrophic response to glucocorticoids.
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Figure S1. Activation of the obestatin/GPR39 system regulates the C25 myotube growth under Dexamethasone (Dexa)-treatment. (A) Left panel, immunofluorescence detection of MHC in C25 myotube cells after stimulation under differentiation conditions.
medium (DM), DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (10 nM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h), applied at day 7 post-differentiation. DAPI was used to identify the cell nucleus. Right panel corresponds to myotube area (μm²) assessment for the different treatments (n = 10 per group). Data were expressed as mean ± SEM. (B) Immunoblot analysis of Murfl, MAFlbx, pFoxO3a(T32), pFoxO1(T24) and pFoxO4(T28) in C25 myotubes under DM, DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h) + obestatin (10 nM, 24 h) or DM + Dexa (1 μM, 24 h). Immunoblots are representative of the mean value. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM obtained from intensity scans. In A-B * P < 0.05 Dexa + obestatin or Dexa vs. control values (DM); # P < 0.05 Dexa + obestatin vs. Dexa values.

**Figure S2.** β-Arrestins determine Akt signalling pathway associated to the obestatin/GPR39 system in human KM155C25 myotubes. After transfection with specific β-arrestin 1 or 2 siRNAs, KM155C25 myotubes were treated with obestatin (10nM) for 10min. The effect of β-arrestin 1 or 2 siRNAs was evaluated on obestatin-activated signalling network, pAkt(S473), pFoxO3a(T32), pFoxO1(T24), pFoxO4(T28), pFoxO1(S256) and pFoxO3a(S318/321). Protein level was expressed as fold of si-control cells (n = 3). Data were expressed as mean ± SEM. * P *< 0.05 vs. control si-control values; # P < 0.05 si-β-arrestin + obestatin vs. si-control + obestatin values.

**Table S1.** Supporting Information.
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